

**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

744 P Street, Sacramento, California 95814



November 5, 2007

ALL COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE: I-46-07

**REASON FOR THIS TRANSMITTAL**

- ☐ State Law Change
- ☒ Federal Law or Regulation Change
- ☐ Court Order
- ☐ Clarification Requested by One or More Counties
- ☒ Initiated by CDSS

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS  
ALL COUNTY CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS  
ALL CHILD WELFARE SERVICES PROGRAM MANAGERS

SUBJECT: CALIFORNIA'S OUTCOMES AND ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM TRIENNIAL SCHEDULE

REFERENCE: ALL-COUNTY LETTER (ACL) 04-05, ALL COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE (ACIN) I-50-06

In response to the notice in the Federal Register by the Children's Bureau issued on January 23, 2007, this letter provides guidelines to implement the necessary changes in the California Outcomes and Accountability System (COAS). The COAS was formally known as the California Children and Family Services Review (C-CFSR).

**BACKGROUND**

Assembly Bill 636 (Steinberg), Chapter 678, Statutes of 2001, enacted the Child Welfare Services Outcome and Accountability Act of 2001. This law required the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) to establish COAS. The COAS commenced in January 2004 with implementation instructions provided to local child welfare services and probation agencies through issuance of ACL 04-05.

The purpose of COAS is to significantly strengthen the accountability system used in California to monitor and assess the quality of services provided on behalf of maltreated children. As such, COAS operates on a philosophy of continuous quality improvement, interagency partnerships, community involvement and public reporting of program outcomes. COAS is comprised of county child welfare system reviews and maximizes compliance with federal regulations for the receipt of federal Title IV-E and Title IV-B funds. Principle components of COAS include: 1) Outcome and Accountability County Data Reports, 2) County Peer Quality Case Reviews (PQCR), 3) County Self-Assessments, 4) County System Improvement Plans, and 5) State Technical Assistance and Monitoring.

The Children's Bureau issued a final notice in the Federal Register on January 23, 2007 outlining the data composites, measures and national standards that will be used for the second round of COAS. For a complete list of the indicators, please refer to the Children's Bureau website: [http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/cb/cwmonitoring/data\\_indicators.htm](http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/cb/cwmonitoring/data_indicators.htm). As California embarks on the second round of reviews, integration of the recently released federal measures is paramount.

### **CALIFORNIA'S OUTCOMES AND ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM - ROUND TWO - TRIENNIAL SCHEDULE**

In order to accommodate the significant changes issued in the Federal Register, a phased-in approach utilizing a transitional set of outcome indicators (Attachment A) will be used until full integration of the federal data elements can be made to County Quarterly Data Reports. All three outcome areas of Safety, Permanency and Well-Being will continue to be measured.

Attached are the term definitions and instructions (Attachment B) for the COAS State Fiscal Year (SFY) 07/08 triennial schedule (Attachment C). This schedule incorporates the transitional data outcome indicators until they are completely integrated into the COAS.

### **PEER QUALITY CASE REVIEW**

Transitioning to the federal composite measures has no impact upon the PQCR process. Attachment C contains the SFY 07/08 schedule for upcoming PQCRs.

This transitional plan was developed by CDSS in conjunction with input from California's county child welfare services agencies and the University of California, Berkeley. Should you have any questions or comments, please call the Children's Services Operations Bureau at (916) 651-8100 or e-mail [chldserv@dss.ca.gov](mailto:chldserv@dss.ca.gov)

We look forward to working with you on our mutual efforts to achieve better outcomes for the children and families served by California's child welfare services and probation programs.

Sincerely,

***Original Document Signed By:***

MARY L. AULT  
Deputy Director  
Children and Family Services Division

Attachments

c: CWDA  
CPOC

**Transitional Data Indicators**

**Transitional Data Indicators:** A transitional set of outcome indicators incorporating all three Outcome Areas (Safety, Permanency and Well-Being) until full integration of the federal data elements can be incorporated into the County Quarterly Data Reports.

Data Element	Currently Reported	Modified	New Federal Indicator
Recurrence of Maltreatment*	X	X	
Abuse in Foster Care*	X	X	
Reunification within 12 months exit cohort	X	X	
Reunification within 12 months (6 month entry cohort)	X	X	
Re-entry following Reunification			X
Adoption within 24 months (exit cohort)	X		
Placement Stability within 12 months (fed)	X	X	
Median time to Reunification			X
Median time to Adoption			X
All participation rates**	X		
Siblings Placed together in Foster Care	X		
Least Restrictive Placement (first placement type and point in time only)**	X	X	
Time to Investigation	X	X	
Social Worker Contacts	X	X	
ICWA	X	X	
Youth in Transition***	X	X	

\* Same measure but reversed so higher is better

\*\* Entry rates and first placement type will include all entries, instead of current five days or more restriction

\*\*\* Still in development phase

**California Department of Social Services  
California Outcomes and Accountability System  
Triennial Schedule Instructions**

This document provides an explanation of acronyms used on the California Outcome and Accountability System (COAS) Triennial Schedule, as well as, column specific descriptions and instructions for each COAS cycle designation.

**Acronyms and Terms Used on the Triennial Schedule:**

<b>BOS</b>	Board of Supervisors
<b>C-CFSR</b>	California Child and Family Services Review – Is also known as the California’s Outcome and Accountability System (COAS). The purpose of the COAS is to strengthen the accountability system used in California to monitor and assess the quality of services provided on behalf of maltreated children. The COAS is comprised of County child welfare system reviews and maximizes compliance with federal regulations for the receipt of Federal IV-E and IVB funds. Principle components of the COAS include: 1) Outcome and Accountability County Data Reports, 2) County Peer Quality Case Reviews, 3) County Self-Assessments, 4) County System Improvement Plans, and 5) State Technical Assistance and Monitoring.
<b>COAS</b>	California’s Outcome and Accountability System – See C-CFSR.
<b>County</b>	County – for the purposes of the COAS the term County includes the County’s child welfare services agency and the County’s probation agency that is responsible for the placement of youth in Title IV-E eligible out-of-home placements.
<b>CSA</b>	County Self-Assessment – The CSA provides an opportunity for counties to analyze their local program operations and other systemic factors. The CSA encompasses analysis of data pertaining to demographic profile and outcomes data; public agency characteristics; systemic factors; PQCR; and County-wide primary prevention efforts aimed at improving program outcomes. Although additional indicators may come from alternate data sources and analysis, the primary source of data for the CSA comes from the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System. The CSA requires Board of Supervisor’s approval.
<b>PQCR</b>	Peer Quality Case Review - The purpose of the PQCR is to learn how to improve child welfare service delivery and practice in California. The PQCR supplements the CSA by including outside expertise in examining County child welfare practices and identifying the strengths and challenges of a County’s child welfare services delivery system and social work and probation officer practices. The PQCR, in addition to the CSA, guides the development of the County’s SIP.

<b>SIP</b>	System Improvement Plan - The County SIP is developed by the County's child welfare and probation agencies in collaboration with its local partners. The County SIP is the operational agreement between the County and the State that establishes program priorities, defines specific action steps to achieve improvement and establishes specific improvement goals in performance the County will achieve within the term of its plan. The County SIP is based upon the Quarterly Data Reports, Peer Quality Case Review and Self-Assessment. Typically the County SIP requires Board of Supervisor's approval, however until the outcome indicators are fully integrated refer to Attachment C to determine if BOS approval is required.
<b>SIP Update</b>	System Improvement Plan Update - The County SIP Update is developed by the County's child welfare and probation agencies in collaboration with its local partners. The County SIP Updates the 3 or 2-Year SIP with regard to the County's program priorities, action steps and improvement goals in performance. The County SIP Update does not require Board of Supervisor's approval.
<b>SFY</b>	State Fiscal Year
<b>Transitional</b>	Used to indicate a change to the existing data outcome indicators

**Triennial Review Schedule Instructions:** Triennial review schedules and accompanying instructions have been developed for each of the three COAS cycle designations (i.e., Year One, Year Two, or Year Three). Depending on a County's cycle designation, COAS requirements and due dates for the full review cycle may vary. Therefore, please be sure to reference the instructions for your County's specific triennial review schedule. County review schedule instructions should be used as a companion document to ACL 04-05. ACL 04-05 contains the C-CFSR instructions and templates to be used by counties for conducting the various COAS components.

**Due Dates:** It is important as part of this process that counties adhere to the enclosed schedule. Should any unforeseen circumstances occur, counties are able to request an extension from CDSS for any of the required COAS components. The request must be submitted in writing, must contain a valid explanation for the request and must apply only to a specific COAS component. The extension will not be applied to subsequent COAS components.

**Documents:** In an effort to provide technical assistance, a draft copy of all documents should be forwarded to CDSS prior to finalization or obtaining BOS approval if required. Final documents must be accompanied by a cover sheet with appropriate signatures from both agencies.

**Instructions for Submitting Documents:** A copy of all draft documents should be e-mailed directly to your Children's Services Operations Bureau (CSOB) Consultant or [chldserv@dss.ca.gov](mailto:chldserv@dss.ca.gov). Final documents should be sent via e-mail to [chldserv@dss.ca.gov](mailto:chldserv@dss.ca.gov) and a hardcopy with original signatures should be addressed to the attention of Glenn A.

Freitas, Chief, Children's Services Operations and Evaluation Branch, 744 P Street, M.S. 3-90, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Year One Counties – For SFY 2007/2008 (El Dorado, Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Lassen, Nevada, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Luis Obispo, Santa Clara, and Tulare)

### **Column 1: County**

This column lists the counties that have been designated as Year One Counties.

Year One Counties are those counties that conducted their first PQCR in SFY 2004/2005. Probation agencies that did not participate in their counties' first PQCR are now included in the triennial review schedule. Probation agencies will be conducting their PQCR concurrently with their CWS partner.

**Note:** The one exception is Santa Barbara. Santa Barbara conducted their first PQCR in September 2005. Because their second PQCR is not due until 2008, Santa Barbara has been re-designated as a Year Two County.

### **Column 2: PQCR Start Date**

This column identifies the date the County began or is scheduled to begin its PQCR.

Specifically, this is the date the County PQCR team will begin its on-site PQCR activities such as: training; review of referrals or cases; collection and review of any additional data deemed relevant to the outcomes of concern in the County; host County interviews and focus groups; and preparation of the PQCR report.

### **Column 3: PQCR Final Report**

This column reflects the date the PQCR final report is due to CDSS.

The PQCR final report is jointly developed by the CDSS and the County and prepared by the PQCR County Co-Chairs. It summarizes the review findings and is to include a clear set of recommendations to be used in the development of the County's SIP. The PQCR final report is due to CDSS within two months from the PQCR end date. The PQCR end date is the last day of the PQCR on-site review. With the exception of Los Angeles, all County PQCR on-site reviews are projected to be concluded within one week.

### **Column 4: New CSA with BOS Approval**

This column designates the date that the County's new CSA with BOS approval is due to CDSS.

The CSA focuses on service delivery outcomes and provides the opportunity for the County to evaluate how local program operations and other systemic factors affect measured outcomes and indicators. The CSA is completed once every three years and requires BOS approval. No annual updates are required. A new CSA is due to CDSS within six months from the date of the PQCR final report due date.

### **Column 5: Alpha Key for CSA**

This column identifies an alpha character for data indicators that must be captured in the County's new CSA.

A: If a CSA is due after July 1, 2007 but before April 1, 2008 the County will use the transitional data indicators and existing systemic factors in the development of the CSA.

- B: If a CSA is due after April 1, 2008, the County will use the fully integrated outcome indicators and existing systemic factors in the development of the CSA.

#### **Column 6: SIP**

This column designates the date that the County's SIP is due to CDSS.

The County's SIP is the operational agreement between the County and the State and outlines how the County will improve its system of care for children that rely on its services. Typically a new SIP with BOS approval is required every three years. However, until the outcome indicators are fully integrated the County should refer to Attachment C to determine if BOS approval is required. The SIP focuses on high priority outcomes and indicators and is due no later than four months from the due date of the most recent CSA.

**Note:** The one exception is Inyo. Inyo will be submitting their 3-Year SIP with BOS approval prior to their New CSA.

#### **Column 7: Alpha Key for SIP**

This column identifies an alpha character for data indicators that must be captured in the County's SIP.

- C: If a SIP is due between July 1, 2007 and March 31, 2008, the County will use the transitional data indicators to develop a 2-Year SIP. BOS approval is not required. After the two years have elapsed, the County will use the fully integrated outcome indicators to develop a 1-Year SIP. BOS approval is not required.
- D: If a SIP is due after April 1, 2008, the County will use the fully integrated outcome indicators and existing systemic factors in the development of a 3-Year SIP. The 3-Year SIP requires BOS approval.

#### **Column 8: Sip Update**

This column reflects the due date for the SIP update.

The SIP Update is due to CDSS annually from the due date of the most recent BOS approved 3-year SIP. The SIP update does not require BOS approval.

**Note:** The one exception is Tulare. Tulare will develop a 1-Year SIP using the transitional data indicators. This 1-Year SIP will not require BOS approval. After the year has elapsed, Tulare will develop a 2-Year SIP using the fully integrated data indicators. This 2-Year SIP requires BOS approval.

#### **Column 9: Month for the Next PQCR**

This column reflects the month in which the County will begin the on-site PQCR activities.

The PQCR on-site activities can begin on any day of that month with the exception of June. If the PQCR process is scheduled for June, the last day of the PQCR on-site activities must be completed no later than June 30.

#### **Column 10: Year for the Next PQCR**

This column reflects the year in which the County will conduct their PQCR on-site activities.

Year Two Counties – For SFY 2007/2008 (Alameda, Contra Costa, Fresno, Glenn, Humboldt, Madera, Marin, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Napa, Orange, Placer, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Solano, Sonoma, Tehama, Trinity, and Ventura)

### **Column 1: County**

This column lists the counties that have been designated as Year Two Counties. Year Two Counties are those counties that had their first PQCR in SFY 2005/2006. Probation agencies that did not participate in their counties' first PQCR are now included in the triennial review schedule. Probation agencies will be conducting their PQCR concurrently with their CWS partner.

**Note:** The one exception is Santa Barbara. Santa Barbara conducted their first PQCR in September 2005. Because their second PQCR is due in 2008, Santa Barbara has been re-designated as a Year Two County.

### **Column 2: New CSA with BOS Approval**

This column captures the date that the County's new CSA with BOS approval is due to CDSS.

The CSA focuses on service delivery outcomes and provides an opportunity for the County to evaluate how local program operations and other systemic factors affect measured outcomes and indicators. The CSA is completed once every three years and requires BOS approval. No annual updates are required. The CSA is due to CDSS within six months of the PQCR final report due date.

### **Column 3: 3-Year SIP with BOS Approval**

This column shows the date that the County's 3-year SIP with BOS approval is due to CDSS.

The County's 3-year SIP is the operational agreement between the County and the State and outlines how the County will improve its system of care for children that rely on its services. The 3-year SIP requires BOS approval. The 3-year SIP focuses on high priority outcomes and indicators and is due no later than four months from the due date of the most recent CSA.

### **Column 4: SIP Update**

This column reflects the due date for the SIP update.

The SIP update is due to CDSS annually from the due date of the most recent BOS approved 3-year SIP. The SIP update does not require BOS approval.

### **Column 5: Alpha Key for SIP Update**

This column identifies an alpha character for data indicators that must be captured in the County's SIP Update.

- E: If a SIP Update is due after July 1, 2007 - an annual update utilizing the transitional data indicators and existing systemic factors will be completed. BOS approval is not required.
- F: If a SIP Update is due after April 1, 2008 - an annual update utilizing the fully integrated outcome indicators and systemic factors will be completed. BOS approval is not required.

### **Column 6: Month for the Next PQCR**

This column reflects the month in which the County will begin the on-site PQCR



activities.

The PQCR on-site activities can begin on any day of that month with the exception of June. If the PQCR process is scheduled for June, the last day of the PQCR on-site activities must be completed no later than June 30.

#### **Column 7: Year for the Next PQCR**

This column reflects the year in which the County will conduct their PQCR on-site activities.

Year Three Counties – SFY 2007/2008 (Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, Lake, Los Angeles, Mendocino, Merced, Monterey, Plumas, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tuolumne, Yolo, and Yuba)

#### **Column 1: County**

This column lists the counties that have been designated as Year Three Counties. Year Three Counties are those counties that conducted their first PQCR in SFY 2006/2007. Probation agencies that did not participate in their counties' first PQCR are now included in the triennial review schedule. Probation agencies will be conducting their PQCR concurrently with their CWS partner.

#### **Column 2: PQCR Final Report**

This column reflects the date the PQCR final report is due to CDSS.

The PQCR final report is jointly developed by the CDSS and the County and prepared by the PQCR County Co-Chairs. It summarizes the review findings and is to include a clear set of recommendations to be used in the development of the County's SIP. The PQCR final report is due to CDSS within two months from the PQCR end date.

#### **Column 3: New CSA with BOS Approval**

This column captures the date that the County's new CSA with BOS approval is due to CDSS.

The CSA focuses on service delivery outcomes and provides an opportunity for the County to evaluate how local program operations and other systemic factors affect measured outcomes and indicators. The CSA is completed once every three years and requires BOS approval. No annual updates are required. The CSA is due to CDSS within six months of the PQCR final report due date.

#### **Column 4: Alpha Key for CSA**

This column identifies an alpha character for data indicators that must be captured in the County's new CSA.

A: If a CSA is due after July 1, 2007 but before April 1, 2008 the County will use the transitional data indicators and existing systemic factors in the development of the CSA.

B: If a CSA is due after April 1, 2008, the County will use the fully integrated outcome indicators and existing systemic factors in the development of the CSA.

Blank: If a CSA is due prior to July 1, 2007 use existing data indicators and systemic factors in the development of the CSA.

**Column 5: SIP**

This column shows the date that the County's SIP is due to CDSS.

The County's SIP is the operational agreement between the County and the State and outlines how the County will improve its system of care for children that rely on its services. Typically a new SIP with BOS approval is required every three years. However, until the outcome indicators are fully integrated the County should refer to Attachment C to determine if BOS approval is required. The SIP focuses on high priority outcomes and indicators and is due no later than four months from the due date of the most recent CSA.

**Column 6: Alpha Key for SIP**

This column identifies an alpha character for data indicators that must be captured in the County's SIP.

C: If a SIP is due between July 1, 2007 and March 31, 2008, the County will use the transitional data indicators to develop a 2-Year SIP. BOS approval is not required. After the two years have elapsed, the County will use the fully integrated outcome indicators to develop a 1-Year SIP. BOS approval is not required.

D: If a SIP is due after April 1, 2008, the County will use the fully integrated outcome indicators and existing systemic factors in the development of a 3-Year SIP. The 3-Year SIP requires BOS approval.

**Column 7: SIP Update**

This column reflects the due date for the SIP update.

The SIP update is due to CDSS annually from the due date of the most recent 3 or 2-Year SIP. The SIP update does not require BOS approval.

**Column 8: Month for the Next PQCR**

This column reflects the month in which the County will begin the on-site PQCR activities.

The PQCR on-site activities can begin on any day of that month with the exception of June. If the PQCR process is scheduled for June, the last day of the PQCR on-site activities must be completed no later than June 30.

**Column 9: Year for the Next PQCR**

This column reflects the year in which the County will conduct their PQCR on-site activities.

**California Outcomes and Accountability Review  
Triennial Schedule**

**Attachment C**

**Year Two Counties - State Fiscal Year 2007/2008**

County	New CSA with BOS Approval	3-Year SIP with BOS Approval	SIP Update		next PQCR	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	a/	b/	c/	d/	e/	f/
Alameda	1/15/07	5/15/07	5/15/08	F	September	2008
Contra Costa	11/20/06	3/20/07	3/20/08	E	April	2009
Fresno	11/27/06	3/27/07	3/27/08	E	March	2009
Glenn	1/15/07	5/15/07	5/15/08	F	October	2008
Humboldt	9/19/06	1/19/07	1/19/08	E	January	2009
Madera	12/10/06	4/10/07	4/10/08	F	May	2009
Marin	2/5/07	6/5/07	6/5/08	F	April	2009
Mariposa	2/12/07	6/12/07	6/12/08	F	May	2009
Modoc	7/7/06	11/7/06	11/7/07	E	November	2008
Mono	2/12/07	6/12/07	6/12/08	F	June *	2009
Napa	2/5/07	6/5/07	6/5/08	F	April	2009
Orange	1/22/07	5/22/07	5/22/08	F	November	2008
Placer	11/13/06	3/13/07	3/13/08	E	March	2009
San Francisco	11/22/06	5/22/07	3/22/08	E	May	2009
San Mateo	10/6/06	2/6/07	2/6/08	E	February	2009
Santa Barbara**	11/3/06	3/3/07	3/3/08	E	September	2008
Solano	9/23/06	1/23/07	1/23/08	E	January	2009
Sonoma	2/5/07	6/5/07	6/5/08	F	June *	2009
Tehama	1/22/07	5/22/07	5/22/08	F	September	2008
Trinity	2/20/07	6/20/07	6/20/08	F	June *	2009
Ventura	9/30/06	1/30/07	1/30/08	E	January	2009

a/ CSA is DUE within 6 months of PQCR final report due date. New CSA with BOS approval is due once every three years.

b/ 3-Yr SIP is DUE within 4 months of the most recent CSA with BOS approval.

c/ SIP Update is DUE annually from the due date for the most recent 3-year SIP with BOS approval.

d/ E: Use transitional indicators. F: Use fully integrated indicators.

e/ Month to schedule the PQCR. County option to select the date within the designated month and year.

f/ Year to schedule the PQCR due once every three years.

\* On-site PQCR activities must be completed by June 30.

\*\* Santa Barbara has been reassigned to the two year cycle from the year one cycle

NOTE: Reports with due dates that fall on a Saturday or Sunday are due the following Monday.

**California Outcomes and Accountability Review  
Triennial Schedule**

**Attachment C**

**Year Three Counties - State Fiscal Year 2007/2008**

County	PQCR Final Report	New CSA with BOS Approval		SIP		SIP Update	Next PQCR	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	a/	b/	c/	d/	e/	f/	g/	h/
Alpine	12/3/06	6/3/07		10/3/07	C	10/3/08	October	2009
Amador	6/11/07	12/11/07	A	4/11/08	D	4/11/09	March	2010
Butte	6/19/07	12/19/07	A	4/19/08	D	4/19/09	April	2010
Calaveras	7/3/07	1/3/08	A	5/3/08	D	5/3/09	April	2010
Colusa	7/2/07	1/2/08	A	5/2/08	D	5/2/09	September	2009
Del Norte	3/23/07	9/23/07	A	1/23/08	C	1/23/09	January	2010
Lake	7/24/07	1/24/08	A	5/24/08	D	5/24/09	May	2010
Los Angeles	5/2/07	11/2/07	A	3/2/08	C	3/2/09	June*	2010
Mendocino	7/10/07	1/10/08	A	5/10/08	D	5/10/09	May	2010
Merced	5/15/07	11/15/07	A	3/15/08	C	3/15/09	March	2010
Monterey	3/26/07	9/26/07	A	1/26/08	C	1/26/09	January	2010
Plumas	6/19/07	12/19/07	A	4/19/08	D	4/19/09	April	2010
San Benito	3/26/07	9/26/07	A	1/26/08	C	1/26/09	January	2010
San Joaquin	5/16/07	11/16/07	A	3/16/08	C	3/16/09	February	2010
Santa Cruz	3/26/07	9/26/07	A	1/26/08	C	1/26/09	January	2010
Shasta	1/2/07	7/2/07	A	11/2/07	C	11/2/08	October	2009
Sierra	5/20/07	11/20/07	A	3/20/08	C	3/20/09	March	2010
Siskiyou	7/18/07	1/18/08	A	5/18/08	D	5/18/09	May	2010
Stanislaus	11/22/06	5/22/07		9/22/07	C	9/22/08	September	2009
Sutter	3/17/07	9/17/07	A	1/17/08	C	1/17/09	June	2010
Tuolumne	12/25/06	6/25/07		10/25/07	C	10/25/08	October	2009
Yolo	5/7/07	11/7/07	A	3/7/08	C	3/7/09	March	2010
Yuba	11/14/06	5/14/07		9/14/07	C	9/14/08	September	2009

a/ PQCR Final Report is DUE within 2 months of PQCR end date.

b/ CSA is DUE within 6 months of PQCR final report due date. New CSA with BOS approval is due once every three years.

c/ If blank use existing indicators. A: CSA will use transitional indicators.

d/ SIP is DUE within 4 months of the most recent CSA with BOS approval.

e/ C: 2-Yr SIP with No BOS approval. Use transitional indicators. After 2 year lapse, 1 -Yr SIP with No BOS approval. Use fully integrated indicators.;

D: 3- Yr SIP with BOS approval. Use fully integrated indicators.

f/ Due annually from the due date for the most recent 3-year SIP with BOS approval.

g/ Month to schedule the PQCR. County option to select the date within the designated month and year.

h/ Year to schedule the PQCR due once every three years.

\* On-site PQCR activities must be completed by June 30.

NOTE: Reports with due dates that fall on a Saturday or Sunday are due the following Monday.

**California Outcomes and Accountability Review  
Triennial Schedule**

**Attachment C**

**Year One Counties - State Fiscal Year 2007/2008**

	PQCR Start Date	PQCR Final Report	New CSA with BOS Approval		SIP		SIP Update	Next PQCR	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
County		a/	b/	c/	d/	e/	f/	g/	h/
El Dorado	5/19/08	7/22/08	1/22/09	B	5/22/09	D	5/22/10	May	2011
Imperial	4/8/08	6/10/08	12/10/08	B	4/10/09	D	4/10/10	April	2011
Inyo **	6/9/08	8/13/08	2/13/09	B	9/30/08	D	9/30/09	June *	2011
Kern	6/2/08	8/6/08	2/6/09	B	6/6/09	D	6/6/10	June *	2011
Kings	10/16/07	12/18/07	6/18/08	B	10/18/08	D	10/18/09	October	2010
Lassen	10/15/07	12/18/07	6/18/08	B	10/18/08	D	10/18/09	October	2010
Nevada	10/16/07	12/18/07	6/18/08	B	10/18/08	D	10/18/09	October	2010
Riverside	3/24/08	5/26/08	11/26/08	B	3/26/09	D	3/26/10	March	2011
Sacramento	5/12/08	7/16/08	1/16/09	B	5/16/09	D	5/16/10	May	2011
San Bernardino	3/3/08	5/7/08	11/7/08	B	3/7/09	D	3/7/10	March	2011
San Diego	4/28/08	7/2/08	1/2/09	B	5/2/09	D	5/2/10	April	2011
San Luis Obispo	10/29/07	1/1/08	7/1/08	B	11/1/08	D	11/1/09	October	2010
Santa Clara	6/2/08	8/6/08	2/6/09	B	6/6/09	D	6/6/10	June *	2011
Tulare***	1/15/08	3/18/08	9/18/08	B	1/18/09	D	1/18/10	January	2011

a/ PQCR final report DUE within 2 months of PQCR end date.

b/ CSA is DUE within 6 months of PQCR final report due date. New CSA with BOS approval is due once every three years.

c/ A: CSA will use transitional indicators; B: CSA will use fully integrated indicators

d/ SIP is DUE within 4 months of the most recent CSA.

e/ C: not used in cycle one; D: 3- Yr SIP with BOS approval. Use fully integrated indicators.

f/ Due annually from the due date for the most recent 3-year SIP with BOS approval. Use fully integrated indicators.

g/ Month to schedule the PQCR. County option to select the date within the designated month and year.

h/ Year to schedule the PQCR due once every three years.

\* On-site PQCR activities must be completed by June 30.

\*\* Inyo to be submitting a 3 year SIP prior to the New CSA (exception)

\*\*\*Tulare: 1-Yr SIP with No BOS approval. Use transitional data indicators. On 1/18/09 a 2 -Yr SIP with BOS approval is due using fully integrated data indicators.

NOTE: Reports with due dates that fall on a Saturday or Sunday are due the following Monday.